

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 01-0620

DIRECT TESTIMONY  
OF  
KIRITKUMAR S. SHAH

Submitted on Behalf  
of  
CENTRAL ILLINOIS PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY  
d/b/a AmerenCIPS

OFFICIAL FILE  
ILL. C. C. DOCKET NO. 01-0620  
AMERENCIPS 1REV  
Date 5-24-02 Reporter LP

September 27, 2001

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. \_\_\_\_\_

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

KIRITKUMAR S. SHAH

Submitted on Behalf

of

CENTRAL ILLINOIS PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY

d/b/a AmerenCIPS

**Q1. Please state your name, business address, and position with Ameren Services Company.**

**A1.** My name is Kiritkumar (Kirit) S. Shah. My business address is 1901 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri, 63103. I am presently the Supervising Engineer of the Transmission & Interconnections group in the Electrical Engineering & Transmission Planning Department for Ameren Services Company ("Ameren Services"). The Transmission Planning Department of Ameren Services provides various technical services to AmerenCIPS and to Union Electric Company, d/b/a AmerenUE.

20 **Q2. How long have you been employed by Ameren Services or one of its affiliate**  
21 **companies?**

22 A2. For 24 years. This includes employment with Ameren Services since January of  
23 1998, and with Union Electric Company ("UE") prior to 1998.

24 **Q3. How long have you held your present position of Supervising Engineer?**

25 A3. For 12 years. This includes employment with Ameren Services and UE.

26 **Q4. What is your educational background?**

27 A4. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical Engineering from the  
28 M. S. University, Baroda, India. I also received Master of Science and Ph. D.  
29 degrees in Electrical Engineering from the University of Missouri – Rolla located in  
30 Rolla, Missouri.

31 **Q5. Are you a registered Professional Engineer?**

32 A5. Yes. I am a registered Professional Engineer in Illinois and Missouri.

33 **Q6. Please describe your professional experience.**

34 A6. I have been employed by either Ameren Services or UE since 1976. From 1976 to  
35 1988 I was assigned to the Transmission Planning (formerly System Planning)  
36 Department as an Engineer. In 1989, I was promoted to my present position in the  
37 Transmission Planning Department. In 1998, my group, Transmission &  
38 Interconnections (T&I), was merged with the Electrical Engineering Department. At  
39 Ameren Services, the T&I group is responsible for determining the optimal  
40 development of the transmission system, generally connected at or above 100 kV.

41 The majority of T&I group's activities involve performing engineering studies to  
42 identify transmission system limitations and develop mitigation plans using power  
43 system analysis tools. As a Supervising Engineer, I am responsible for directing and  
44 coordinating these engineering studies. I have been actively involved in the  
45 Mid-America Interconnected Network (MAIN) Regional Reliability Council  
46 technical committees, presently serving on the MAIN Engineering Committee and  
47 Transmission Task Force Steering Committee, and as a Chairman of the MAIN  
48 Transmission Assessment Studies Group. Even before the merger of UE and  
49 CIPSCO, through my work with the MAIN organization, of which both AmerenUE  
50 and AmerenCIPS were members, and the various engineering studies sponsored by  
51 MAIN, I became familiar with the AmerenUE and AmerenCIPS transmission  
52 systems in Missouri and Illinois, as well as with the interconnected neighboring  
53 systems.

54 **Q7. What is the purpose of your testimony?**

55 **A7.** The purpose of my testimony is to support the Petition filed by AmerenCIPS for a  
56 Certificate of Convenience and Necessity by providing information regarding the  
57 need for a second 138 kV transmission line, approximately 17 miles in length, in  
58 Ford County, Illinois from AmerenCIPS' Gibson City South Substation to  
59 AmerenCIPS' Paxton East Substation.

60 **Q8. Why does AmerenCIPS propose to build this line?**

61 A8. The proposed line is needed to provide adequate outlet transmission capacity for one  
62 of AmerenCIPS designated Network Resources, the Gibson City generation, during  
63 a transmission facility outage condition. The additional transmission capacity will  
64 enhance reliability of service to Ameren customers, particularly those in the Ford  
65 County area.

66 **Q9. Can you provide the maximum capability of the existing system lines connected**  
67 **at the Gibson City South Substation?**

68 A9. Yes. Presently there are two 138 kV system lines connected at the Gibson City  
69 South Substation. One line, owned by AmerenCIPS, is the Gibson City South to  
70 Paxton East line. The emergency rating of this line is 168 MVA for summer and  
71 204 MVA for winter operation. The second line, owned by Illinois Power (IP), is the  
72 Gibson City South to Brokaw (IP Substation) line. The emergency rating of this line  
73 is 164 MVA for summer and 202 MVA for winter operation.

74 **Q10. What is the maximum generating capability of the generation at the Gibson**  
75 **City generating Plant?**

76 A10. With and without wet compression in-service, the total maximum generating  
77 capability of two generating units at the Gibson City Plant is 234 MW and 206 MW,  
78 respectively, for summer operation. The maximum plant capability for winter  
79 operation is 270 MW.

80 **Q11. Can you explain the contingency overload problem?**

81 A11. Yes. Presently, the Gibson City South 138-69 kV substation supplies about 38 MW  
82 of load during summer peak conditions. If one of the 138 kV lines connected to the  
83 Gibson City South Substation is out of service, the remaining line has to carry the  
84 full generation output of the Gibson City plant minus the load. In other words, if the  
85 existing Gibson City South to Paxton East line is out of service at the summer peak  
86 time, the remaining line has to carry 196 MW, which is about 19.5% over its summer  
87 emergency rating. Similarly if the Gibson City South to Brokaw line is out of  
88 service, the remaining line has to carry 196 MW, which is about 16.7 % over its  
89 summer emergency rating. A similar problem exists for winter operation. Thus,  
90 depending upon the amount of load served from the Gibson City South substation  
91 during peak and other times, the overload could be about 15% to 35% under a single  
92 contingency condition.

93 **Q12. What procedure is in place to handle this contingency overload condition until**  
94 **the new line goes in-service?**

95 A12. Presently separate relays measure the flow on each of the two outlet lines. If flow  
96 exceeds either line's emergency rating, a signal is sent to the Gibson City Plant to  
97 initiate an automated generation reduction scheme to reduce generation on Unit #2.  
98 This measure, however, is considered temporary as adequate plant transmission  
99 outlet capability should be provided under a single contingency condition.

100 **Q13. Did you consider any other option instead of building the proposed new line?**

101 A13. Yes. We considered upgrading both of the existing lines.

102 **Q14. Why was this option not implemented?**

103 A14. This option was not implemented for two reasons, reliability and cost. Reliability is  
104 a concern because each of the existing lines, one at a time, would have to be taken  
105 out of service for an extended period to upgrade it. During this time, the Gibson City  
106 Plant generation would have to be reduced, and if the remaining in-service line  
107 experiences a forced outage, then the total generation as well as the supply to the  
108 Gibson City South substation load would be lost. The other reason this option was  
109 not pursued is that it would cost about ~~\$1,433,000~~ more than building the proposed  
110 new line. *\$2,330,000*

111 **Q15. Does the proposed new line provide any advantages to the customers in Illinois?**

112 A15. Yes. Availability of full generation at the Gibson City Plant should benefit  
113 electricity customers in the local AmerenCIPS service area, and also surrounding  
114 areas.

115 **Q16. Are there any disadvantages associated with the proposed line?**

116 A16. No.

117 **Q17. Does this conclude your testimony?**

118 A17. Yes, it does.